

**104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.5531 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 28, 2015

Gurmeet Singh alias Meeta Appellant

vs.

Gurmeet Singh Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Gurjit S. Latheri, Advocate for the appellant.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

CM-13136-C-2014

There is delay of 11 days in filing the present appeal.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, supported by an affidavit, delay of 11 days in filing the present appeal is condoned subject to all just exception.

Application stands disposed of.

RSA-5531-2014

Impugned in the present regular second appeal is the judgment and decree dated 25.03.2014 passed by learned District Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib, affirming the judgment and decree dated 08.09.2012 passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Fatehgarh Sahib, whereby the suit of the plaintiff based on pronote and receipt was decreed for ₹2,00,000/- along with interest @ 1.56% per month from 01.08.2003 to 31.07.2006 along with future interest as well as

pendentelite interest @ 1.56% per month from the date of filing of the suit till its realization.

Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that an agreement of sale was executed. However, pronote and receipt were not executed.

After hearing learned counsel for the appellant, I am of the view that the pronote and receipt were proved before the lower court. The findings of facts have been recorded. No substantial question of law arises in the present appeal.

Hence, the present regular second appeal stands dismissed.

September 28, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE