

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

108

RSA No.5138 of 2014 (O&M)

Decided on : 26.11.2015

M/s Baba Gurdit Singh and another

... Appellants

Versus

Dalip Singh

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present : Mr.D.S.Malwai, Advocate
for the appellants.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present regular second appeal is the judgment and decree dated 14.05.2014, passed by the learned District Judge, Ferozepur, affirming the judgment and decree dated 12.09.2012, passed by the learned Addl.Civil Judge (Senior Division), Ferozepur, whereby the suit of the plaintiff for recovery, was decreed.

I have heard the learned counsel for the appellants and have also carefully gone through the file.

The dispute in the present case is with regard to advancement of loan of Rs.5,12,500/- based on the writing on the letter pad executed by defendants in favour of the plaintiff. Both the courts have held that advancement of loan has been proved.

No evidence was led by the defendants before both the courts below to prove that signature on the writing pad are forged or the stamp of the defendants was forged by some one.

No substantial question of law arises in the present appeal. Therefore, there is no ground to interfere in the concurrent findings of two Courts below. Hence, the present appeal is dismissed.

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge

26.11.2015
sd