

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No.75 of 2015

Date of decision: January 29, 2015.

Kavita Devi

... **Petitioner**

v.

Ram Lal

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN PARSOON**

Present: Shri Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

**Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon, J. (Oral):**

Counsel for the petitioner seeks transfer of the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (in short the Act) filed by the respondent-husband for restitution of conjugal rights, from Barnala to a court of competent jurisdiction at Bathinda.

It is also urged that the respondent-husband is also facing proceedings under Section 125 Cr.P.C. at Bathinda. Besides this, distance between Bathinda and Barnala being about 150 kilometers and the petitioner having to rear a breast-fed child of about six months age, it will be very difficult for her to travel between Bathinda and Barnala, on each and every date of hearing.

No notice is being issued to the respondent in order to obviate delay, more so when no prejudice is likely to be caused to the respondent in view of the nature of order which this Court proposes to pass.

In view of the submissions made by Counsel for the petitioner

as also the circumstances explained, the request is allowed.

Sequelly, the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 pending at Barala is transferred to Bathinda. Both the concerned District Judges to comply.

The petition stands disposed of.

Copy dasti on usual charges.

January 29, 2015.  
*kadyan*

**[Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon]**  
**Judge**