

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

RSA No. 4564 of 2014(O&M)
Date of decision : December 7, 2015

Iqbal Singh

..... Appellant

Versus

Gurvinder Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

**Present:- Mr. D. S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the appellant.**

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).
CM No. 10575-C of 2014

Counsel for the appellant while filing the appeal had not paid court fee and in this regard moved an application under Section 149 CPC seeking exemption from depositing the court fee, has not even been filed even till date. The matter had been adjourned on the previous date. Today also, a request has been made for paying the court fee.

RSA No. 4564 of 2014(O&M)

Even otherwise, there is concurrent finding of fact in respect of suit filed by the plaintiff whereby recovery of an amount of ₹1,50,000/- on the basis of pronote and receipt dated 1.12.2003 has

been decreed. It has emphatically been submitted that though the entire amount had been paid in the presence of Sarpanch, who as per record, has not been examined.

I do not intend to differ with the finding rendered by both the courts below which is based on appreciation of oral and documentary evidence. I do not find any illegality or perversity in the aforementioned judgment and decree of the appellate court.

No substantial question of law arise for determination by this Court.

In view of what has been observed above, the appeal is devoid of merits, accordingly, the same is dismissed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

December 7, 2015
archana