

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No.22 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: January 13, 2015.

Anita

... **Petitioner**

v.

Vinod

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN PARSOON**

Present: Shri A.K. Virdi, Advocate for
Shri S.S. Pathania, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon, J. (Oral):

Counsel for the petitioner, inter-alia, has urged that the petitioner-wife is residing at Chandigarh and is employed in some Central Government organization. It is claimed that the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (in short the Act) has been filed by the respondent-husband for restitution of conjugal rights at Sonapat merely to harass and humiliate her, and to cause her more emotional pain and pangs by making her to frequently visit Sonapat to defend her case. It is claimed that distance between Chandigarh and Sonapat is about 210 kilometers. The petitioner also claims that majority of the witnesses would also be belonging to Chandigarh as not only the marriage had taken place at Chandigarh but even they had last resided together at Chandigarh. The averments are supported on an affidavit.

No notice is being issued to the respondent in order to obviate delay, more so when no prejudice is likely to be caused to the respondent in view of the nature of order which this Court proposes to pass.

In view of the circumstances explained in the petition, the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 is ordered to be transferred from Sonapat to the court of competent jurisdiction at Chandigarh. Compliance be made by all concerned.

The petition stands disposed of.

January 13, 2015.
kadyan

[Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon]
Judge