

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

TA-177-2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: July 31, 2015

Anju Bala

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sanjeev Kumar Sharma

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr.G.L.Bajaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Balkaran Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Mr.Balkaran Singh, Advocate, appearing for the respondent submits that the petition before the learned trial Court has been withdrawn by the respondent and, as such, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner also admits the above submission.

In view of the statement suffered by the learned counsel for the respondent, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

July 31, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE