

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.4127 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision.09.10.2015**

Randhir Singh and anotherAppellants

Versus

Santokh Singh and anotherRespondents

Present: Mr. Surinder Sharma, Advocate
for the appellants.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.11671-C of 2015

For the reasons stated in the application, order passed by this Court on 15.09.2015 is recalled and the appeal is restored to its original number.

Application is allowed.

RSA No.4127 of 2014

1. I directed the counsel to argue the case on merits. The counsel says that the plaintiff executed the decree and also taken possession of the property and the appellant would not be in a position to argue the case on merits beyond that.
2. I find nothing for interference in the second appeal. The second appeal is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

October 09, 2015

Pankaj*