

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No. 957 of 2015 (O&M)
Dated: 27.4.2015

Kuldeep Kaur

.....Appellant

Vs

**The Chairman Panchayat Samiti Lehragaga
and others**

....Respondents

CORAM: - HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: - Mr. J.S. Moudgill, Advocate for the appellant.

....

RITU BAHRI, J (Oral)

The appellant-plaintiff has come up in appeal against the judgment of the lower appellate Court dated 14.7.2014, whereby the suit filed by the plaintiff for permanent injunction was dismissed.

The brief facts leading to the present case are that the plaintiff applied for appointment to the post of Anganwari worker as per the notification issued by defendants dated 9.1.2004. The merit list was prepared by BDPO and the name of the plaintiff was mentioned at serial No. 19 but the apportionment of Anganwari workers had to be made. After filing of the suit, the stand of the defendants in the Court was that the plaintiff was not eligible for appointment as Anganwari worker as her date of birth as per her matriculation certificate (Mark-A) is 13.5.1959 and she was more than 44 years as prescribed in the notification. Learned both the Courts below dismissed the suit as well as the appeal of the plaintiff as the plaintiff could

not produce the reliable evidence so as to prove that the date of birth as mentioned in matriculation certificate (Mark-A) was wrong.

In view of the said fact, I find that no substantial question of law arises for adjudication by this Court.

Accordingly, regular second appeal is dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

27.4.2014
preeti