

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Regular Second Appeal No.3815 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.5.2015

Lado and others

... Appellants

Versus

Ved Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.Sant Lal Barwala, Advocate,
for the appellants.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

This is plaintiff's second appeal. The suit was filed on 12.6.2007 praying for correction of revenue entries in the jamabandi for the year 1969-70. The Courts below have found that Ganga Ram predecessor-in-interest of the plaintiff-appellants did not question the jamabandi when his name did not find mention as owner of the property. If Ganga Ram was not a co-owner of the suit land or his name shown in any of the subsequent jamabandis, it was too late in the day to have entertained a suit filed in 2007 against a cause of action which arose decades ago and in this, both the Courts below agreed that the suit was barred by limitation.

I have no reason to differ with the finding of the Courts below.

Appeal dismissed.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

May 12, 2015

Paritosh Kumar

