

**121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.673 of 2015

Date of Decision: December 04, 2015

Meer Singh and others Appellants.

vs.

Mahabir Singh and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate for the appellants.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present regular second appeal is the judgment and decree dated 10.09.2014 passed by learned Addl. District Judge, Jhajjar, affirming the judgment and decree dated 16.03.2012 passed by learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Jhajjar, vide which the suit of the plaintiffs for declaration and permanent injunction was dismissed.

The plaintiffs had challenged the decree dated 02.02.1991 suffered by one Chet Ram, uncle of the plaintiffs and defendants. The suit was filed in the year 2006. The lower court held that there is no illegality in passing of the said decree. The findings were affirmed in appeal. The findings of the facts have been recorded by both the courts below. No substantial question of law arises in the present appeal.

Hence, the present regular second appeal stands dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

December 04, 2015
sarita