

**122 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA NO. 660 OF 2015(O&M)
Date of decision: 20.11.2015**

AmarjitAppellant

versus

Shiv Rattan Singh and othersRespondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice K.Kannan

**Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the appellant.**

K.Kannan, J.(Oral)

The defendant who has suffered a decree for injunction filed by the plaintiffs is the appellant before this Court. Admittedly, the defendant is a stranger purchaser. There are partition proceedings before the Revenue Authorities and the plaintiffs had sought civil action only for a restraint of change of character of property till the same was divided in metes and bounds. The trial court has granted the relief and the appellate court has also confirmed the same. There is no error in the procedure adopted and there exists no substantial question for consideration in second appeal.

Learned counsel says that there could be a direction for disposal of the partition proceedings before the revenue officials. The partition proceedings themselves are not subject of consideration before this Court and I can not give any such direction.

Regular Second Appeal is dismissed.

20th November, 2015
Shivani Kaushik

[K.KANNAN]
Judge