

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.3603 of 2014

Date of Decision: September 08, 2015

Ram Kala and another

.... Appellants

vs.

Dhira and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Harshit Jain, Advocate for the appellants.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present regular second appeal is the judgment and decree dated 05.03.2014 passed by learned District Judge, Jind, affirming the judgment and decree dated 14.09.2012 passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Narwana, District Jind, vide which the suit of the plaintiffs-respondents for grant of permanent injunction restraining the defendants from interfering in the property of the plaintiffs-respondents, was dismissed.

After going through the judgments of both the courts below, I am of the view that the findings recorded regarding the possession of the property in question are the findings of facts. No substantial question of law arises in the present appeal.

Accordingly, the present regular second appeal stands dismissed.

September 08, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE