

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.654 of 2015 (O & M)
Date of Decision:27.02.2015**

Anupam Malhotraappellant

Versus

Smt.Saroj Rani Malhotrarespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Akshay Jindal, Advocate
for the appellant

Mr.Pankaj Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the caveator-respondent

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the appellant, submits that he does not wish to press the instant appeal and only some time be afforded to the appellant to vacate the premises in dispute. He further submits that for the period she would occupy the portion in her possession, she would defray the electricity and water charges.

The proposal is acceptable to learned counsel for the caveator-respondent.

In the wake of the position as set out above, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn. The appellant is granted three months' time i.e. upto 30.05.2015, to vacate the portion in her occupation and possession. And deliver peaceful and incumbered possession of

the premises to the respondent. Appellant would defray the water and electricity charges for the period she would be in occupation of the portion in her possession. And, in case, the appellant fails to vacate the premises, on the stipulated date, respondent shall be free to execute the decree, forthwith. And an undertaking to this effect, by way of an affidavit, shall be furnished by the appellant within two weeks to the executing Court.

27.02.2015

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE