

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA No.3243 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision.17.08.2015

Kamlesh Kumari

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Monika Thakur, Advocate for
Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate
for the appellant.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Delay of 5 days in filing and 56 days in refiling the appeal is condoned.

2. The plaintiff who lost suit in the manner of vying for the post of Anganwani Worker with the 6th defendant has a grouse that her status as SC candidate was not considered and that six marks which have to be allotted to her for consideration of order of merit had not been assigned to her. The defence was that the post did not carry any reservation and the appointment, which was made, was clearly on the basis of merits and the marks secured in the school board examinations alone mattered. The Court was looking for any particular rule of reservation for the post and when the same was not given, the plaintiff's suit was dismissed. The Appellate Court affirmed the same. Before the High Court as well, there is no point made except reiteration of the contentions made before the

Courts below.

3. I find no better material to improve the situation for the plaintiff. The judgments of the Court below are confirmed and the second appeal is dismissed as finding no substantial question of law for consideration.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

August 17, 2015
Pankaj*