
In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Regular Second Appeal No.5667 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 02.11.2015.

Hukamjit Singh @ Hakamjit Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Gulshan Kumar & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Arshdeep Singh Sivia, Advocate,
for the appellant.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the Regular Second Appeal in the judgment and decree dated 11.09.2015, passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Sri Muktsar Sahib affirming the judgment and decree dated 06.12.2011, passed by the learned Civil Judge, Senior Division, Sri Muktsar Sahib, vide which the suit of the plaintiff was decreed for recovery of Rs.2,58,958/- against the defendants along with interest @ 9% per annum from the date of loan till the date of filing of the suit and he was also entitled to recover pendente lite

interest @ 6% per annum till the actual realization of the decretal amount.

It comes out that the suit was based on the *bahi* entries on the basis of which pronote and receipt was executed. The findings of facts have been recorded that the consideration has been proved. No substantial question of law arises. Hence, the appeal is dismissed.

November 02, 2015

Ankur

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**