

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.2946 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision.27.11.2015**

Dalip Singh

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate
for the appellant.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Delay of 50 days in refiling the appeal is condoned.
2. A Civil Court intervention was simply not possible for correcting an adverse entry in ACR. The lower Appellate Court has observed that it will be open for the plaintiff to take appropriate recourse before the very same authorities who had made adverse entry and it will not possible for a Civil Court to make intervention unless a case of personal bias or mala fides, which had been neither pleaded nor proved. The statement of law is correct and it will require no intervention in the second appeal.
3. The second appeal is dismissed as involving no substantial question of law.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

November 27, 2015
Pankaj*