

**103 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.2817 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: December 08, 2015

Sher Singh

.... Appellant

vs.

Bhola and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate for the appellant.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

CM-6696-C-2014

There is delay of 57 days in filing the present appeal.

For the reasons mentioned in the application,supported by an affidavit, delay of 57 days in filing the present appeal is condoned, subject to all just exception.

Application stands disposed of.

RSA No.2817-2014

Impugned in the present regular second appeal is the judgment and decree dated 27.09.2013 passed by learned Addl. District Judge, Narnaul, affirming the judgment and decree dated 17.11.2010 passed by learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Narnaul, vide which the suit of the plaintiff for permanent injunction was dismissed.

It comes out that before the lower court, the plaintiff had failed to prove that he was in exclusive possession of any specific portion out of the joint property. Therefore, the injunction was refused. The findings of the facts have been recorded by both the courts below, no substantial question of law arises in the present appeal.

Hence, the present regular second appeal stands dismissed.

December 08, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE