

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.5520 of 2015

Date of Decision: October 14, 2015

Kanhaiya Lal

.... Appellant

vs.

Kailash Chand and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate for the appellant.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present regular second appeal is the judgment and decree dated 04.07.2015 passed by learned District Judge, Narnaul, affirming the judgment and decree dated 31.10.2013 passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Narnaul, vide which the suit of the plaintiff for permanent injunction was decreed and the defendants-appellants were restrained from installing any window, door, ventilator towards the southern wall of the room of the plaintiff as shown mark AB in the site plan Ex.PW1/A. The counter claim of the defendants was also dismissed.

A perusal of the judgments and decrees of both the courts below shows that the findings of the facts have been recorded regarding the entitlement of the plaintiff for permanent injunction. There are concurrent findings of both the courts below. No substantial question of law arises in the present appeal.

Hence, the present regular second appeal stands dismissed.

October 14, 2015

sarita

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**