

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Regular Second Appeal No.2407 of 2014
Date of decision:16.10.2015

Balwan Singh

... Appellant

versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate,
for Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate,
for the appellant.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ?No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The appeal is brought by the plaintiff whose claim for treating his period of regularization to be effective from the date of initial appointment, was dismissed. The plaintiff's plea for parity with yet another person who had been appointed subsequently with the respondent as a Helper failed. The plaintiff's case was that he had been terminated from service on 06.06.1987 and later on reference to Labour Court for adjudication, a compromise had been entered into with the respondent and he was reinstated in service by the award of the Labour Court. I asked the counsel about the terms of the award and whether it contemplated that the order of

termination was to be treated as bad in law and that his own service were to be reckoned to take effect from the initial order of appointment dated 01.02.1985 itself. The counsel is unable to inform the court about the nature of award that was passed by the Labour Court. I am afraid I cannot help the appellant who arrives in court without being apprised of even the fundamental order of what could salvage his case when the two courts below have dismissed his case.

2. The second appeal is dismissed as involving no substantial question of law.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

16.10.2015
sanjeev