

site in question was, indeed, owned by Dharamvir Singh son of Bhalley Ram son of Balla Ram, resident of Vidya Nagar, Bhiwani. No evidence was led to prove that, in fact, the sale deed dated 01.02.2006, though was executed by the true owner i.e. Dharamvir Singh son of Bhalley Ram son of Jug Lal, but on account of any accidental omission, he was recorded to be the grandson of Balla Ram and not Jug Lal. Once that was so, Om Parkash i.e. predecessor-in-interest of the plaintiff, could not claim to be the lawful owner of the suit property. Once that was so, he could not pass a title better than what he himself possessed.

Learned counsel for the appellant could not point out or show as to how the conclusions that were concurrently recorded by both the Courts below were either contrary to the position on record or suffered from any material illegality. No other argument has been advanced.

In the wake of the position as set out above, there hardly exists any ground, least plausible in law, to interfere with the decree being assailed in the present appeal. No question of law, much less any substantial question of law, arises for consideration in the present appeal. The same being devoid of merit is, accordingly, dismissed.

FEBRUARY 25, 2015
Kang

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE