

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No. 4564 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: November 5, 2015

Pardeep Walia

...Appellant
Versus

Rajit Walia and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Suvir Kumar, Advocate,
for the appellant.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

The plaintiff who was heir at law along with the defendants for the properties inherited from the father made a case of oral settlement by the father in his favour to the exclusion of the other. There could have been no oral settlement of the immovable property of worth more than 100 rupees. The plaintiff's case for oral settlement failed and so too the action for injunction. The judgments of the courts below are correct and require no intervention.

The second appeal is dismissed.

November 5, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE