

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No.1707 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.05.2015

Pehlad

..... Appellant

Versus

Jagdish and another

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Advocate,
for the appellant.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

CM No.4201-C of 2014

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and the huge delay of 725 days in refiling the appeal is condoned.

RSA No.1707 of 2014 (O&M)

While alleging fraud committed in the making of a release deed transferring property from one member of the family to another, the plaintiff while facing cross-examination admitted that the signatures on the release deed were his. In the suit brought by the appellant to avoid the release deed by alleging fraud and misrepresentation in its making, the plea of fraud committed upon the plaintiff was not accepted either by the trial Judge or by the first appeal court since he failed to produce any satisfactory evidence to support the plea that his signatures were taken on the pretext of executing a power of attorney. The Court found from the oral testimony of the appellant that he admitted to be a property dealer running a business in the name of

Jai Durga Property in Grain Market, Hansi. If he is a property dealer by profession he should have known where to append his signatures while striking property deals.

No ground is made out to interfere.

No question of law, much less a substantial one arises in this appeal which fails and is dismissed in limine as not warranting admission for any further hearing.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

18.05.2015
manju