

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

Regular Second Appeal No.1154 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 27, 2015

Baldev Chand and another

...Appellants

Versus

Simru Ram and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Sanjay Gupta, Advocate
for the appellants.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the appellants informs the Court that in the execution proceedings, it has been established that the respondent-plaintiff is not in possession of the property in question. However, as the suit was for permanent injunction, which although was decreed but in the light of the fact that the respondent-plaintiff was not in possession, the present appeal arising out of the said suit, has been rendered infructuous and, therefore, he does not press the present appeal.

Dismissed as not pressed.

August 27, 2015
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE