

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No. 3768 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: December 1, 2015

Sukhjinder Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Sudhir Kumar Sehgal

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Dhirinder Chopra, Advocate,
for the appellant.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

CM No. 9238 C of 2015

For the reasons stated in the application, delay in refilling the appeal is condoned.

Main case

A neighbour of the plaintiff's building had an objection for erection of a shutter in the plaintiff's building when the plaintiff sought for protection of the court by relief of injunction. On proof of ownership of the property, the trial court granted the relief of injunction which was confirmed in appeal. There is no error for intervention in the judgments below. The second appeal would require no consideration on any specific question of law hence dismissed.

December 1, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE