

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.3386 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: July 22, 2015**

Vidya Kaur and others

.... Appellants

vs.

Sandeep Kaur and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate for the appellants.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

This is the regular second appeal filed by unsuccessful plaintiffs. The plaintiffs had filed a suit before the learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Sangrur seeking permanent injunction for restraining the defendant from opening any door, window and ventilator in the wall AB towards 15' wide street shown in red colour in the site plan.

Learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Sangrur after considering the facts of the case and taking into consideration the definition of the public street, dismissed the suit.

The appeal filed by the plaintiff was dismissed by the learned Addl. District Judge-III, Sangrur affirming the findings of the lower court.

The facts and circumstances of the case show that it is a question of fact which has been decided by both the courts below. No law point is involved. The question, whether the street was a public street or a private street, has been decided.

Thus, no interference is called for by this Court in the findings of facts recorded by both the courts below.

Consequently, the present appeal stands dismissed.

July 22, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE