

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.2822 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision.27.11.2015**

Charanjit Singh	Versus	Appellant
Gurmel Singh and others		Respondents

Present: Mr. Harkeerat Singh, Advocate
for the appellant.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.14082-C of 2015

For the reasons stated in the application, order passed by this Court on 20.10.2015 is recalled and the appeal is restored to its original number.

Application is allowed.

RSA No.2822 of 2015

1. One of the plaintiffs, who is the appellant, admitted in evidence that the suit property in Khasra No.126 was exchanged by a property in Khasra No.154 and he had been in possession. The plaintiffs, however, would contend that notwithstanding the admission, the entry of Khasra No.126 as being in his possession as a co-owner must be respected. I discard this argument and uphold the decisions already passed.

2. The second appeal is dismissed as involving no substantial question of law.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

**November 27, 2015
Pankaj***