

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No.784 of 2013

Date of decision: May 8, 2015.

Nisha Verma @ Salina

... **Petitioner**

v.

Anil Verma

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN PARSOON**

Present: Shri Rai Singh Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Shri Gursimran Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon, J. (Oral):

Despite opportunity, Counsel for the respondent has not filed reply. Further adjournment for filing reply is declined.

Counsel for the petitioner seeks transfer of the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (in short the Act) filed by the respondent-husband for restitution of conjugal rights from Amritsar to Pathankot.

It is also urged that the respondent-husband is also facing criminal proceedings inter-alia under Section 498-A IPC as also proceedings under Section 125 Cr.P.C. at Pathankot. Besides this, it is very difficult for her to undertake journey of 80 kilometers from Pathankot to Amritsar on each and every date of hearing along with her minor child.

In view of the submissions made by Counsel for the parties as also the circumstances explained by them, the request is allowed.

Sequelly, the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 pending at Amritsar is transferred to District Judge, Pathankot who may assign it to any competent court. Both the concerned District Judges to comply.

Parties to appear before the transferee court on 12.6.2015.

The petition stands allowed.

Copy dasti on usual charges.

May 8, 2015.
kadyan

[Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon]
Judge