

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.702 of 2013
Date of Decision.21.04.2015**

Mamta KakarPetitioner

Versus

Madhur KakkarRespondent

Present: Mr. Arapandeeep Narula, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sant Lal Barwala, Advocate
for the respondent.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the matter has been compromised and there is a petition filed for divorce by mutual consent under Section 13(b) of the Hindu Marriage Act in the Family Court at Hisar.

2. In the light of the subsequent development, the transfer application is dismissed as having become infructuous. The petitioner will be at liberty to file an application for recall of this order if the statement made by the counsel for the respondent is reported to be not correct.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

April 21, 2015
Pankaj*