

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.2505 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision.28.09.2015**

Kamal Phool and othersAppellants

Versus

Mam ChandRespondent

Present: Mr. S.S. Dinarpur, Advocate
for the appellant.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Delay of 1 day in filing the appeal is condoned.
2. The plaintiffs are the appellants before this Court. The plaintiffs filed the suit impeaching the sale executed by his brother on plea that the property is joint family property. The plaintiffs were unable to establish the existence of any ancestral nucleus or the sale standing in the name of the defendant as benefitting the family including themselves. With no proper evidence available, the Courts below have rejected the plaintiffs' case.
3. I find that there is no case for intervention in the second appeal. The second appeal is devoid of merits and it is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

September 28, 2015
Pankaj*