

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA No. 8780 of 2014 (O&M)
LAC No. 305 of 11.5.2011

Date of decision : 2.11.2015

Sunil Kumar

.. Appellant

versus

The State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Kul Bhushan Sharma, Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocates,
and Mr. Uday Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate, for the appellants.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

This order will dispose of RFA Nos. 8780, 8821, 8857, 8887 to 8890, 8976 of 2014, as the same arise out of common acquisition.

By filing the appeals, the landowners are seeking enhancement of compensation for the acquired land.

Briefly, the facts of the case are that vide notification dated 14.8.2008, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the Act'), State of Haryana sought to acquire the land, situated in villages Palwali, Badshahpur, Riwajpur and Tikawali, Tehsil and District Faridabad for development and utilisation thereof as Master Plan Roads of Sectors 75 to 89, Faridabad. The same was followed by notification dated 30.8.2008, issued under Section 6 of the Act. The Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector'), vide awards dated 27.8.2010, assessed the compensation for the acquired land @ ₹ 42,00,000/- per acre. Aggrieved against the awards of the Collector, the landowners filed objections which were referred to the learned court below, who keeping in view the material placed on record by the parties, determined the fair value of the acquired land @ ₹ 1,118/- per square yard. These award have been impugned by the landowners in the present set of appeals.

Learned counsel for the landowners submitted that the claim made in the present set of appeals is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in RFA No. 7108 of 2012 Rampal and others vs Land Acquisition Collector and another, decided on 16.9.2015, whereby compensation for the land acquired vide same notification was further enhanced.

Learned counsel for the State did not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

Accordingly, for the detailed reasons recorded in Rampal's case (supra), the present set of appeals are disposed of in the same terms.

2.11.2015
vs

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge