

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No.460 of 2013

Date of decision: March 13, 2015.

Poonam

... **Petitioner**

v.

Pankaj

... **Respondent**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN PARSOON**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Shri Surinder Gandhi, Advocate for the respondent.

Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon, J. (Oral):

Counsel for the respondent states that the petitioner-wife along with the respondent-husband has already filed a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 before District Judge, Sonapat where the next date fixed is 26.5.2015 for recording of statements of the parties in the second motion. Counsel for the respondent states that this petition has become infructuous. May be, for this reason, there is no appearance for the petitioner as well.

Dismissed as infructuous.

March 13, 2015.
kadyan

[**Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon**]
Judge