

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.1971 of 2015

Date of Decision: November 28, 2015

Vinod Kumar Garg

.... Appellant

vs.

Municipal Committee and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Vishal Sharma Haritwal, Advocate for the appellant.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present regular second appeal is the judgment and decree dated 22.12.2014 passed by learned Addl. District Judge, Kaithal, affirming the judgment and decree dated 12.08.2014 passed by learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Guhla, vide which the suit of the plaintiff was dismissed.

The plaintiff had filed a suit for mandatory injunction directing defendant Nos.1 and 2 to remove unauthorized construction raised by them over the property in dispute, which is gair mimkin rasta used for general public.

A perusal of judgments of both the courts below shows that the findings of the facts have been recorded. No substantial question of law is involved in the present case.

Hence, the present regular second appeal stands dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

November 28, 2015
sarita