

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.1807 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: October 06, 2015**

Gurdas Singh

.... Appellant

vs.

Amar Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate for the appellant.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Challenged in the present regular second appeal is the judgment and decree dated 07.10.2014 passed by learned Addl. District Judge, Sangrur, affirming the judgment and decree dated 13.01.2014 passed by learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Sunam, vide which the suit of the plaintiff was decreed and defendants-appellants were restrained from interfering in the possession of the plaintiff or dispossessing the plaintiff from the suit property.

A perusal of the judgments of both the courts below shows that the findings of the facts have been recorded regarding the possession of the plaintiff. No substantial question of law arises.

Hence, the application for condonation of delay in filing the present regular second appeal as well as the regular second appeal stand dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

October 06, 2015

sarita