

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

108

Regular Second Appeal No.815 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 31, 2015

Kashmiri Lal

...Appellant

Versus

Sada Nand and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Jasuja, Advocate
for the appellant.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

In this appeal challenge is to the judgments and decree passed by the Courts below, by which the suit for specific performance of two agreements and suit for recovery of ₹3,77,482/- with permanent injunction and mandatory injunction, has been dismissed.

2. It is the contention of the counsel for the appellant-plaintiff that the finding recorded by the Courts below that the agreements are vague and unclear is incorrect and cannot be sustained. On this argument having been raised by the counsel for the appellant-plaintiff, he was called upon to read the agreements, which have been read over in Court but I find that the findings as recorded by the Courts below are fully justified and do not call for any interference.

3. Both the Courts below have returned concurrent findings after properly appreciating the evidence and the same cannot be interfered with as there is no perversity or illegality in the same.

4. No substantial question of law is involved in the present appeal. Therefore, finding no merit in the present appeal, the same stands

dismissed.

5. In view of the dismissal of the appeal itself, pending applications stand disposed of.

August 31, 2015

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**