

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA No. 784 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : 8.4.2015

Ravi Bala

... Appellant

VS

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Hari Om Sharma and
Mr. Ashok Kumar Khubbar, Advocates, for the landowners.
Mr. Raj Kumar Makkad, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

This order will dispose of appeals bearing RFA Nos. 784 to 787, 1490, 2319, 5235, 6044, 6046 to 6049 and 6123 of 2014, as the same arise out of common acquisition.

The landowners are in appeal seeking enhancement of compensation for the acquired land.

Briefly the facts of the case are that State of Haryana vide notification dated 28.1.2002 issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the Act'), sought to acquire land situated within the revenue estate of village Garhi Mundan, Hadbast No. 408, Tehsil Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar, for development and utilisation thereof as residential/ commercial area for Sector-17 Part, Jagadhri. Notification under Section 6 of the Act, was issued on 23.1.2003. The Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector') assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 6,00,000/- per acre i.e. ₹ 120/- per square yard. Dissatisfied with the award of the Collector, the landowners filed objections. On reference under Section 18 of the Act, the learned court below assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 1,560/- per square meter. It is this award which is impugned by the landowners before this Court.

Learned counsel for the landowners submitted that the claim made in the present set of appeals is squarely covered by judgment of this Court in RFA No. 5083 of 2009 Zora Singh vs State of Haryana and others, decided on 5.3.2014, whereby the compensation for the acquired land was further enhanced to ₹ 2,402.40 per square meter.

Learned counsel for the State did not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

Accordingly, for the reasons recorded in Zora Singh's case (supra), the present appeals are disposed of in the same terms.

8.4.2015

vs

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge