

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No. 5128 of 2013 (O/M)
Date of decision : 8.12.2015

Jarnail Singh

..... Appellant

Versus

Jaspal Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Arora, Advocate,
for the appellant.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present regular second appeal is the judgment and decree dated 25.9.2013, passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Ferozepur, affirming the judgment and decree dated 6.4.2012, passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ferozepur, vide which the suit, filed by the plaintiff, directing the defendant to reconstruct the portion of the roof of shop under his possession as tenant, was dismissed.

I have heard the learned counsel for the appellant and have also carefully gone through the file.

RSA No. 5128 of 2013 (O/M)

It comes out that the findings of facts have been recorded by both the Courts below. There are concurrent findings against the plaintiff. No substantial question of law arises in the present regular second appeal. Hence, the present regular second appeal is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

8.12.2015
sjks