

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No. 5083 of 2013 (O/M)

Date of decision : 8.12.2015

Jarnail Singh

..... Appellant

Versus

Jaspal Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Arora, Advocate,
for the appellant.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present regular second appeal is the judgment and decree dated 25.9.2013, passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Ferozepur, affirming the judgment and decree dated 6.4.2012, passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ferozepur, vide which the suit of the plaintiff/respondent for permanent injunction, was decreed and the defendant/appellant was restrained from re-constructing the collapsed roof of shop in his possession.

I have heard the learned counsel for the appellant and

RSA No. 5083 of 2013 (O/M)

have also carefully gone through the file.

It comes out that the findings of facts have been recorded by both the Courts below. No substantial question of law arises in the present regular second appeal. There is no ground to interfere in the concurrent findings of facts recorded by both the Courts below. Hence, the present regular second appeal is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

8.12.2015
sjks