

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

DATE OF DECISION: AUGUST 18, 2015

Shiv Kumar

.....Appellant

VERSUS

Udey Ram and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**Present: Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate,
for the appellant.**

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

This appeal has been preferred by the appellant-plaintiff, who had filed a suit against the respondent-defendants for declaration to the effect that the he as also defendant Nos.1 to 10 are the owners in possession of the suit land to the extent of their respective shares alongwith super structures thereon. A declaration was further sought for permanent injunction restraining defendant Nos.11 and 12 from interfering in the actual peaceful possession of the plaintiff over the suit land.

Both the courts below have not accepted the claim of the appellant-plaintiff and have held the sale deeds dated 06.07.1970, Exhibits P-2 to P-11 to be not proved. The Court has even gone to the extent of holding that the plaintiff as also the respondent-defendants have no title to the property in dispute.

Counsel for the appellant states that the finding as recorded by the courts below cannot be sustained as there is no basic contest with regard to the sale deeds, which were executed in the year 1970 by any of the party.

This contention of counsel for the appellant-plaintiff cannot be accepted. Both the Courts below have returned concurrent findings after properly appreciating the evidence and the same cannot be interfered with as there is no perversity or illegality in the same.

No substantial question of law is involved in the present appeal. Therefore, finding no merit in the present appeal, the same stands dismissed.

August 18, 2015
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE