

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RFA No. 6463 of 2015 (O&M)  
LAC No. 38 of 2006**

Date of decision : 3.12.2015

Ajit Singh and others

..... Appellants

VS

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal**

**Present:** Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate, for the appellants.

**Rajesh Bindal J.**

This order will dispose of appeals bearing RFA Nos. 6463 to 6465 of 2015, as the same arise out of common acquisition.

The landowners are in appeal before this court against the award of the learned court below seeking enhancement of compensation for the acquired land.

Briefly, the facts of the case are that the State of Haryana vide notification dated 2.1.2002, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the Act'), sought to acquire 344.31 acres of land in the revenue estate of village Budha Khera, Hadbast No. 1, Tehsil and District Karnal, for development and utilisation thereof as residential and commercial area of Sector-9, Part-32 and 33 Part in Urban Estate, Karnal. Notification under Section 6 of the Act was issued on 24.12.2002. The Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector') vide award no.22 for the year 2004-2005 assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 4,00,000/- per acre for all kind of plain land and ₹ 3,00,000/- per acre for the depressed land upto 2½ feet. Aggrieved against the award of the Collector, the landowners filed objections. On reference, the learned Court below vide award dated 11.8.2009, assessed the compensation @ ₹439/- per square yard. It is this award which has been impugned in the present set of appeals.

Learned counsel for the appellants submitted that the issue raised in the present set of appeals is squarely covered by judgment of this Court in RFA No. 3493 of 2009 - Jagmal Singh and another vs State of Haryana and others, decided on 27.3.2014, whereby the award of the learned Court below was upheld.

Since this Court had upheld the award of the learned Court below, no case for further enhancement is made out. Accordingly, for the reasons recorded in Jagmal Singh's case (supra), the present appeals are dismissed. Consequently, the accompanying applications for condonation of delay in filing the appeals are also dismissed.

3.12.2015

vs.

**(Rajesh Bindal)**  
**Judge**