

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA No. 6242 of 2015 (O&M)

LAC No. 474 of 2010

Date of decision : 19.10.2015

Ram Kishan

.. Appellant

versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Vikrant Hooda, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The landowner is in appeal seeking enhancement of compensation for the acquired land.

Briefly, the facts of the case are that vide notification 17.4.2002, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, the Act), State of Haryana sought to acquire the land, situated in village Bahadurgarh, Hadbast No.38, Tehsil Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar, for development and utilisation thereof as Commercial, Institutional, Residential for Sector-1 (Part)-10, 11 (Part), 12 and 13, Bahadurgarh. The same was followed by notification dated 10.4.2003, issued under Section 6 of the Act. The Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector'), vide award no.9 dated 25.6.2004, assessed the compensation @ ₹ 6,00,000/- per acre for chahi/ nehri/ gair mumkin, ₹ 5,50,000/- per acre for barani/ banjar, and ₹ 2,50,000/- per acre for gadha khadda. Aggrieved against the award of the Collector, the landowner filed objections which were referred to the learned court below, who keeping in view the material placed on record by the parties, determined the fair value of the acquired land @ ₹ 11,31,000/- per acre. This award has been impugned in the present appeal by the appellant.

Learned counsel for the landowner submitted that the claim made in the present appeal is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in RFA No. 1626 of 2011 Puran Chand Wadhwa (deceased) through LRs vs Land Acquisition Collector and another, decided on 31.8.2015, whereby, compensation for the land acquired was further enhanced.

Learned counsel for the State did not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

Accordingly, for the detailed reasons recorded in Puran Chand Wadhwa's case (supra), the appeal is allowed in the same terms.

19.10.2015
vs

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge