

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA, AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RFA No. 5585 of 2014
Date of Decision: 22.07.2015**

Haryana Urban Development Authority and another

-----Appellants

vs.

Prem Chand Gupta and others

---Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. M.L. Sharma, Advocate,

Mr. Ashwani Bakshi, Advocate,

Mr. Binderjit Singh, Advocate,

Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate,

Mr. S.N. Pillania, Advocate,

Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate,

Mr. V.B. Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the land-owners.

Mr. Baldev Raj Mahajan, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate,
for HUDA.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, A.A.G., Haryana.

SABINA, J.

Vide this order, above mentioned appeal as well as
appeals mentioned below would be disposed of as they have arisen
out of the same acquisition/award.

Appeals filed by the HUDA

1. RFA No. 5586 of 2014
2. RFA No. 5587 of 2014
3. RFA No. 5588 of 2014
4. RFA No. 5589 of 2014
5. RFA No. 5590 of 2014
6. RFA No. 5591 of 2014
7. RFA No. 5592 of 2014
8. RFA No. 5593 of 2014
9. RFA No. 5594 of 2014
- 10.RFA No. 5595 of 2014
- 11.RFA No. 5596 of 2014
- 12.RFA No. 5597 of 2014
- 13.RFA No. 5598 of 2014
- 14.RFA No. 5599 of 2014
- 15.RFA No. 5600 of 2014
- 16.RFA No. 5601 of 2014
- 17.RFA No. 5602 of 2014
- 18.RFA No. 5603 of 2014
- 19.RFA No. 5604 of 2014
- 20.RFA No. 5605 of 2014
- 21.RFA No. 5606 of 2014
- 22.RFA No. 5607 of 2014
- 23.RFA No. 5608 of 2014
- 24.RFA No. 5609 of 2014
- 25.RFA No. 5610 of 2014

26.RFA No. 5611 of 2014

27.RFA No. 5612 of 2014

28.RFA No. 5613 of 2014

29.RFA No. 5614 of 2014

30.RFA No. 5615 of 2014

31.RFA No. 5616 of 2014

32.RFA No. 5617 of 2014

33.RFA No. 5618 of 2014

34.RFA No. 5619 of 2014

35.RFA No. 5620 of 2014

36.RFA No. 5621 of 2014

37.RFA No. 5622 of 2014

38.RFA No. 5623 of 2014

39.RFA No. 5624 of 2014

40.RFA No. 5625 of 2014

41.RFA No. 5626 of 2014

42.RFA No. 5627 of 2014

43.RFA No. 5628 of 2014

44.RFA No. 5629 of 2014

45.RFA No. 5630 of 2014

46.RFA No. 5631 of 2014

47.RFA No. 5632 of 2014

48.RFA No. 5633 of 2014

49.RFA No. 5634 of 2014

50.RFA No. 5635 of 2014

51.RFA No. 5636 of 2014

52.RFA No. 5637 of 2014

53.RFA No. 5638 of 2014

54.RFA No. 5639 of 2014

55.RFA No. 5640 of 2014

56.RFA No. 5641 of 2014

57.RFA No. 5642 of 2014

58.RFA No. 5643 of 2014

59.RFA No. 5644 of 2014

60.RFA No. 5645 of 2014

61.RFA No. 5646 of 2014

62.RFA No. 5647 of 2014

63.RFA No. 5648 of 2014

64.RFA No. 5649 of 2014

65.RFA No. 5650 of 2014

66.RFA No. 5651 of 2014

67.RFA No. 5652 of 2014

68.RFA No. 5653 of 2014

69.RFA No. 5654 of 2014

70.RFA No. 5655 of 2014

71.RFA No. 5656 of 2014

72.RFA No. 5657 of 2014

73.RFA No. 5658 of 2014

74.RFA No. 5659 of 2014

75.RFA No. 5660 of 2014

76.RFA No. 5661 of 2014

77.RFA No. 5662 of 2014

- 78.RFA No. 5663 of 2014
- 79.RFA No. 5664 of 2014
- 80.RFA No. 5665 of 2014
- 81.RFA No. 5666 of 2014
- 82.RFA No. 5667 of 2014
- 83.RFA No. 5668 of 2014
- 84.RFA No. 5669 of 2014
- 85.RFA No. 5670 of 2014
- 86.RFA No. 5671 of 2014
- 87.RFA No. 5672 of 2014
- 88.RFA No. 5673 of 2014
- 89.RFA No. 5674 of 2014
- 90.RFA No. 5675 of 2014
- 91.RFA No. 5676 of 2014
- 92.RFA No. 5677 of 2014
- 93.RFA No. 5678 of 2014
- 94.RFA No. 5679 of 2014
- 95.RFA No. 5680 of 2014
- 96.RFA No. 5681 of 2014
- 97.RFA No. 5682 of 2014
- 98.RFA No. 5683 of 2014
- 99.RFA No. 5684 of 2014
- 100.RFA No. 5685 of 2014
- 101.RFA No. 5686 of 2014
- 102.RFA No. 5687 of 2014
- 103.RFA No. 5688 of 2014

104.RFA No. 5689 of 2014

105.RFA No. 5690 of 2014

106.RFA No. 5691 of 2014

107.RFA No. 5692 of 2014

108.RFA No. 5693 of 2014

109.RFA No. 5694 of 2014

110.RFA No. 5695 of 2014

111.RFA No. 5696 of 2014

112.RFA No. 5697 of 2014

113.RFA No. 5698 of 2014

114.RFA No. 5699 of 2014

115.RFA No. 5700 of 2014

116.RFA No. 5701 of 2014

117.RFA No. 5702 of 2014

118.RFA No. 5703 of 2014

119.RFA No. 5704 of 2014

120.RFA No. 5705 of 2014

121.RFA No. 5706 of 2014

122.RFA No. 5707 of 2014

123.RFA No. 5708 of 2014

124.RFA No. 5709 of 2014

125.RFA No. 5710 of 2014

126.RFA No. 5711 of 2014

127.RFA No. 5712 of 2014

128.RFA No. 5713 of 2014

129.RFA No. 5714 of 2014

130.RFA No. 5715 of 2014

131.RFA No. 5716 of 2014

132.RFA No. 5717 of 2014

133.RFA No. 5718 of 2014

134.RFA No. 5719 of 2014

135.RFA No. 5720 of 2014

136.RFA No. 5721 of 2014

137.RFA No. 5722 of 2014

138.RFA No. 5723 of 2014

139.RFA No. 5724 of 2014

140.RFA No. 5725 of 2014

141.RFA No. 5726 of 2014

142.RFA No. 5727 of 2014

143.RFA No. 5728 of 2014

144.RFA No. 5729 of 2014

145.RFA No. 5730 of 2014

146.RFA No. 5731 of 2014

147.RFA No. 5732 of 2014

148.RFA No. 5733 of 2014

149.RFA No. 5734 of 2014

150.RFA No. 5735 of 2014

151.RFA No. 5736 of 2014

152.RFA No. 5737 of 2014

153.RFA No. 5738 of 2014

154.RFA No. 5739 of 2014

155.RFA No. 5740 of 2014

156.RFA No. 5741 of 2014

157.RFA No. 5742 of 2014

158.RFA No. 5743 of 2014

159.RFA No. 5744 of 2014

160.RFA No. 5745 of 2014

161.RFA No. 5746 of 2014

162.RFA No. 5747 of 2014

163.RFA No. 5748 of 2014

164.RFA No. 5749 of 2014

165.RFA No. 5750 of 2014

166.RFA No. 5751 of 2014

167.RFA No. 5752 of 2014

168.RFA No. 5753 of 2014

169.RFA No. 5754 of 2014

170.RFA No. 5755 of 2014

171.RFA No. 5756 of 2014

172.RFA No. 5757 of 2014

173.RFA No. 5758 of 2014

174.RFA No. 5759 of 2014

175.RFA No. 5760 of 2014

176.RFA No. 5761 of 2014

177.RFA No. 5762 of 2014

178.RFA No. 5763 of 2014

179.RFA No. 5764 of 2014

180.RFA No. 5765 of 2014

181.RFA No. 5766 of 2014

182.RFA No. 5767 of 2014

183.RFA No. 5768 of 2014

184.RFA No. 5769 of 2014

185.RFA No. 5770 of 2014

186.RFA No. 5771 of 2014

187.RFA No. 5772 of 2014

188.RFA No. 5773 of 2014

189.RFA No. 5774 of 2014

190.RFA No. 5775 of 2014

191.RFA No. 5776 of 2014

192.RFA No. 5777 of 2014

193.RFA No. 5778 of 2014

194.RFA No. 5779 of 2014

195.RFA No. 5780 of 2014

196.RFA No. 5781 of 2014

197.RFA No. 5782 of 2014

198.RFA No. 5783 of 2014

199.RFA No. 5784 of 2014

200.RFA No. 5785 of 2014

201.RFA No. 5786 of 2014

202.RFA No. 5787 of 2014

203.RFA No. 5788 of 2014

204.RFA No. 5789 of 2014

205.RFA No. 5790 of 2014

206.RFA No. 5791 of 2014

207.RFA No. 5792 of 2014

208.RFA No. 5793 of 2014

209.RFA No. 5794 of 2014

210.RFA No. 5795 of 2014

211.RFA No. 5796 of 2014

212.RFA No. 5797 of 2014

Appeals filed by the Land-owners

1. RFA No. 3661 of 2014

2. RFA No. 4651 of 2014

3. RFA No. 4730 of 2014

4. RFA No. 4731 of 2014

5. RFA No. 4732 of 2014

6. RFA No. 4733 of 2014

7. RFA No. 4734 of 2014

8. RFA No. 4735 of 2014

9. RFA No. 4736 of 2014

10.RFA No. 4737 of 2014

11.RFA No. 4738 of 2014

12.RFA No. 4739 of 2014

13.RFA No. 4740 of 2014

14.RFA No. 4741 of 2014

15.RFA No. 4742 of 2014

16.RFA No. 4743 of 2014

17.RFA No. 4785 of 2014

18.RFA No. 4786 of 2014

19.RFA No. 4787 of 2014

20.RFA No. 4788 of 2014

21.RFA No. 4789 of 2014

22.RFA No. 4790 of 2014

23.RFA No. 4791 of 2014

24.RFA No. 4792 of 2014

25.RFA No. 4793 of 2014

26.RFA No. 4794 of 2014

27.RFA No. 4795 of 2014

28.RFA No. 4796 of 2014

29.RFA No. 4797 of 2014

30.RFA No. 4798 of 2014

31.RFA No. 4912 of 2014

32.RFA No. 4913 of 2014

33.RFA No. 4914 of 2014

34.RFA No. 4915 of 2014

35.RFA No. 4916 of 2014

36.RFA No. 4917 of 2014

37.RFA No. 4918 of 2014

38.RFA No. 4919 of 2014

39.RFA No. 4920 of 2014

40.RFA No. 4921 of 2014

41.RFA No. 4922 of 2014

42.RFA No. 4923 of 2014

43.RFA No. 5286 of 2014

44.RFA No. 5488 of 2014

45.RFA No. 5489 of 2014

46.RFA No. 5490 of 2014

47.RFA No. 5491 of 2014

48.RFA No. 5492 of 2014

49.RFA No. 5493 of 2014

50.RFA No. 5494 of 2014

51.RFA No. 5495 of 2014

52.RFA No. 6072 of 2014

53.RFA No. 6073 of 2014

54.RFA No. 6074 of 2014

55.RFA No. 6075 of 2014

56.RFA No. 6076 of 2014

57.RFA No. 6116 of 2014

58.RFA No. 6144 of 2014

59.RFA No. 6145 of 2014

60.RFA No. 6146 of 2014

61.RFA No. 6147 of 2014

62.RFA No. 6148 of 2014

63.RFA No. 6149 of 2014

64.RFA No. 6150 of 2014

65.RFA No. 6151 of 2014

66.RFA No. 6152 of 2014

67.RFA No. 6153 of 2014

68.RFA No. 6154 of 2014

69.RFA No. 6155 of 2014

70.RFA No. 6166 of 2014

71.RFA No. 6157 of 2014

72.RFA No. 6158 of 2014

73.RFA No. 6159 of 2014

74.RFA No. 6160 of 2014

75.RFA No. 6161 of 2014

76.RFA No. 6162 of 2014

77.RFA No. 6163 of 2014

78.RFA No. 6164 of 2014

79.RFA No. 6165 of 2014

80.RFA No. 6166 of 2014

81.RFA No. 6167 of 2014

82.RFA No. 6168 of 2014

83.RFA No. 6414 of 2014

84.RFA No. 6415 of 2014

85.RFA No. 6416 of 2014

86.RFA No. 6417 of 2014

87.RFA No. 6418 of 2014

88.RFA No. 6419 of 2014

89.RFA No. 6420 of 2014

90.RFA No. 6421 of 2014

91.RFA No. 6422 of 2014

92.RFA No. 6423 of 2014

93.RFA No. 6424 of 2014

94.RFA No. 6425 of 2014

95.RFA No. 6426 of 2014

96.RFA No. 6427 of 2014

97.RFA No. 6428 of 2014

98.RFA No. 6429 of 2014

- 99.RFA No. 6430 of 2014
- 100.RFA No. 6431 of 2014
- 101.RFA No. 6432 of 2014
- 102.RFA No. 6433 of 2014
- 103.RFA No. 6434 of 2014
- 104.RFA No. 6435 of 2014
- 105.RFA No. 6436 of 2014
- 106.RFA No. 6437 of 2014
- 107.RFA No. 6438 of 2014
- 108.RFA No. 6439 of 2014
- 109.RFA No. 6440 of 2014
- 110.RFA No. 6441 of 2014
- 111.RFA No. 6442 of 2014
- 112.RFA No. 6443 of 2014
- 113.RFA No. 6444 of 2014
- 114.RFA No. 6445 of 2014
- 115.RFA No. 6446 of 2014
- 116.RFA No. 6447 of 2014
- 117.RFA No. 6448 of 2014
- 118.RFA No. 6449 of 2014
- 119.RFA No. 6450 of 2014
- 120.RFA No. 6451 of 2014
- 121.RFA No. 6452 of 2014
- 122.RFA No. 6453 of 2014
- 123.RFA No. 6454 of 2014
- 124.RFA No. 6455 of 2014

- 125.RFA No. 6456 of 2014
- 126.RFA No. 6457 of 2014
- 127.RFA No. 6458 of 2014
- 128.RFA No. 6460 of 2014
- 129.RFA No. 6461 of 2014
- 130.RFA No. 6462 of 2014
- 131.RFA No. 6463 of 2014
- 132.RFA No. 6464 of 2014
- 133.RFA No. 6465 of 2014
- 134.RFA No. 6466 of 2014
- 135.RFA No. 7196 of 2014
- 136.RFA No. 7350 of 2014
- 137.RFA No. 7365 of 2014
- 138.RFA No. 7366 of 2014
- 139.RFA No. 7367 of 2014
- 140.RFA No. 7368 of 2014
- 141.RFA No. 7378 of 2014
- 142.RFA No. 7668 of 2014
- 143.RFA No. 7669 of 2014
- 144.RFA No. 7889 of 2014
- 145.RFA No. 7890 of 2014
- 146.RFA No. 7891 of 2014
- 147.RFA No. 7892 of 2014
- 148.RFA No. 7893 of 2014
- 149.RFA No. 7894 of 2014
- 150.RFA No. 7895 of 2014

151.RFA No. 8097 of 2014

152.RFA No. 8158 of 2014

153.RFA No. 8185 of 2014

154.RFA No. 8509 of 2014

155.RFA No. 9047 of 2014

156.RFA No. 9075 of 2014

157.RFA No. 9249 of 2014

158.RFA No. 9250 of 2014

159.RFA No. 9251 of 2014

160.RFA No. 9252 of 2014

161.RFA No. 9427 of 2014

162.RFA No. 9543 of 2014

163.RFA No. 9544 of 2014

164.RFA No. 9545 of 2014

165.RFA No. 9546 of 2014

166.RFA No. 9547 of 2014

167.RFA No. 9724 of 2014

168.RFA No. 10642 of 2014

169.RFA No. 10643 of 2014

170.RFA No. 10809 of 2014

171.RFA No. 399 of 2015

172.RFA No. 400 of 2015

173.RFA No. 401 of 2015

174.RFA No. 402 of 2015

175.RFA No. 403 of 2015

176.RFA No. 404 of 2015

177.RFA No. 564 of 2015

178.RFA No. 565 of 2015

Notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred as 'the Act') was issued on 04.07.2006 for acquisition of land of Villages Fatehabad, Basti Bhiwan and Matana for developing residential and commercial Sectors 9, 10, 11 and 11-A at Fatehabad. Declaration under Section 6 of the Act was issued on 03.7.2007. Total 724.82 acres of land was acquired. So far as Village Fatehabad is concerned, 313.22 acres of land was acquired whereas land measuring 318.74 acres of Village Basti Bhiwan was acquired and land measuring 107.04 acres of Village Matana was acquired. The Land Acquisition Collector, vide its award dated 31.03.2008 granted compensation @ ₹12,00,000/- per acre for all kinds of land so far as Village Fatehabad is concerned. So far as Village Basti Bhiwan is concerned, the Land Acquisition Collector allowed ₹12,00,000/- per acre for *Nehri/Chahi/Tibba/Tal* and ₹24,00,000/- per acre for *Gair Mumkin* land. So far as Village Matana is concerned, the Land Acquisition Collector, granted compensation @ ₹9,00,000/- per acre for all kinds of land.

The land-owners sought reference under Section 18 of the Act being dissatisfied with the amount of compensation awarded by the Land Acquisition Collector. The Reference Court disposed of 213 references preferred by the land-owners under Section 18 of the Act vide award dated 24.12.2013.

The Reference Court assessed the market value of the land @ ₹965 per square yard qua all kinds of land and the Reference

Court while allowing the references held as under:-

“As a sequel to the above discussion and in view of the findings on issues No. 1 and 2, the market value of the acquired land i.e subject matter of the present references is assessed as ₹965/- per square yards on the relevant date of publication of notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act.

In addition to this, it is further held that the petitioner Satish Kumar in LA case No. 186 of 2008 has suffered damages to the tune of ₹18,870/- on account of shifting of the tubewell from the land acquired by the respondent and he is entitled to this amount as damages under Section 23 of the Land Acquisition Act. Further, the petitioner is entitled to the labour amount to the tune of ₹20,000/- which will include the cost of war and tear of pipes etc. Further, the petitioner Satish Kumar is entitled to damages for loss of construction on Khasra No. 206//1 in the sum of ₹50,000/-.

In L.A. Case No. 57 of 2009 titled Krishna Devi vs. State of Haryana, the petitioner is entitled to the labour amount to the tune of ₹20,000/- which will include the cost of wear and tear of pipes etc. However, Smt. Krishna Devi is not entitled any more compensation than assessed by technical expert of PWD B&R Department.

In L.A. Case No. 49 of 2009 titled Shanta Rani etc. vs. State of Haryana, the petitioners are entitled to ₹20,000/- extra as labour charges for the shifting of submersible bore only.

In LA case No. 209 of 2008 titled Jug Lal etc. vs. State, Jug Lal etc. are entitled to only

₹20,000/- amount extra than provided by respondents.

In L.A. case No. 263 of 2008 titled Ram Kumar vs. State of Haryana. Ram Kumar is not entitled to only ₹20,000/- amount extra than provided by respondents.

In L.A. case No. 326 of 2008 titled Om Parkash vs. State of Haryana etc. the petitioner Om Parkash is not entitled to any additional amount.

In L.A. case No. 260 of 2008 titled Onkar vs. State of Haryana, the petitioner Onkar Chand is not entitled to any additional amount.

In LA case No. 284 titled Bagrawat etc vs. State of Haryana etc. five shops have been demolished on account of acquisition, the petitioners Bagawat etc. are given ₹2,00,000/- as damages.

In addition to the above compensation, it is further directed that:-

(i) In the light of provisions contained in Section 23 (1-A) of the Act, the petitioners shall be entitled to addition amount @ ₹12% per annum on the enhance amount of compensation including the compensation granted on account of costs of tubewell and shifting charges.

(ii) The above mentioned petitioners shall also be paid statutory solatium @ ₹30% over and above the enhanced amount of compensation as mentioned above, in the light of Section 23(2) of the Act.

(iii) In view of Section 28 of the Act, the above mentioned petitioners shall also be

entitled to interest @ ₹9% per annum for a period of one year from the date of taking over possession and @ ₹15% per annum on the expiry of said period of one year on the aggregate amount of enhanced compensation including solatium and additional amount of 12%.

In order to ensure that the land-owners are not harassed, the compensation would be disbursed in the manner given below:-

(i) Within one month from today, the Special Land Acquisition Officer shall depute an officer subordinate to him not below the rank of Naib Tehsildar or an equivalent rank, to get in touch with the land-owners and/or their legal representatives and inform them about their entitlement to receive the balance amount of compensation.

(ii) The concerned officers shall instruct the land-owners and/or their legal representatives to open savings bank account in a nationalized or scheduled bank, in case they already do not have such account.

(iii) The account numbers of the land-owners and/or their legal representatives should be furnished by the concerned officer to the Land Acquisition Officer within a period of one month.

(iv) Within next one month, the Special Land Acquisition Officer shall deposit the amount of compensation along with other statutory benefits in the bank accounts of the land-owners and/or their legal representatives in the form of account payee cheques.

Accordingly, all the references are allowed with costs. Counsel fee is assessed at

*₹1,000/- in each case. Memo of costs be prepared.
File be consigned to the record room after due
compliance.”*

Hence, the present appeals by the land-owners as well as by the Haryana Urban Development Authority (hereinafter referred as 'HUDA').

Learned counsel for the land-owners have submitted that the Reference Court while enhancing the amount of compensation had assessed the market value of the land basing reliance on award Exhibit P-77 and order passed by this Court in appeal Exhibit P-78. Learned counsel for the land-owners have further submitted that this Court vide Exhibit P-78 had enhanced the market value of the land @ ₹600/- per square yard with regard to acquisition made for construction for police lines in pursuance to notification dated 02.10.2001 (under Section 4 of the Act). The Hon'ble Apex Court vide order dated 25.02.2015, has enhanced the amount of compensation to ₹866/- per square yard. Learned counsel for the land-owners have submitted that the land-owners were also entitled to consequential increase by granting them 12% increase on cumulative basis.

Learned Senior counsel for HUDA has not controverted the factum of passing of the order dated 25.02.2015 passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court with regard to acquisition of land for construction of police lines but has submitted that the market value of the land was not liable to be assessed on the basis of acquisition made as per notification dated 02.10.2001. Learned Senior counsel has

further submitted that the purpose of acquisition vide notification dated 02.10.2001 and the notification in the present case were different.

In the present case, notification under Section 4 of the Act was issued on 04.07.2006 for development of residential and commercial Sectors 9, 10, 11 and 11-A at Fatehabad. As per the evidence on record, the acquired land was surrounded by police line as well as residential and commercial premises. Surrounding land was acquired by the State vide notification dated 21.07.1993 for construction of Mini Secretariat and Judicial Complex. The land was then acquired vide notification dated 21.12.1998 for developing sectors of HUDA. In the year 2001, the land was acquired for developing police lines vide notification dated 02.10.2001. 84 acres 03 kanals of land was acquired for construction of police lines.

The Reference Court vide Exhibit P-77 awarded compensation as under:-

“Block-A : Land situated within 2 acres of the National Highway No. 10 at ₹8,60,000/- acre.

Block-B : Land falling within next three acres at ₹7,40,000/- per acre.

Block-C : Rest of the land at ₹5,00,000/- per acre. “

In appeal, this Court vide Exhibit P-78, enhanced the market value @ of ₹600/- per square yard.

Against the said decision, the appeals filed by the land-owners were allowed by the Hon'ble Apex Court and the

compensation amount was enhanced to ₹866/- per sq. yard vide order dated 25.02.2015 in Civil Appeals No. 6755-6762 of 2013 and other connected matters.

The Hon'ble Apex Court while disposing of the appeals has held as under:-

“After perusing the records and the judgment and order passed by this Court in the case of Asharfi and others vs. State of Haryana in Civil Appeal Nos. 3279-3287 of 2013, dated 11.04.2013 wherein the compensation was enhanced from ₹260/- sq. yards to ₹350/- sq. yards, by applying 12 per cent increase in respect of land acquired vide Notification dated 21.07.1993 and ₹616/- in respect of land acquired by Notification dated 21.12.1998, the learned counsel for the appellant was justified in making the aforesaid submission. After the proceedings, before the Executing Court compensation was being paid in these cases on the aforesaid basis which has since been paid by the Government.

In view of the above, we allow these appeals and enhance the compensation to ₹866/- per sq. yard. The appellants are also entitled to all the statutory benefits including solatium and interest. Interest on the enhanced compensation, however, shall be given from the date of the judgment passed by the High Court. No order as to costs.

Ordered accordingly.”

So far as the State appeals against the order passed by this Court dated 29.04.2010 are concerned, the same were dismissed by the Hon'ble Apex Court, vide order dated 25.02.2015.

In the present case, the land situated in Village Fatehabad was earlier sought to be acquired vide notifications dated 21.07.1993, 21.12.1998 and 02.10.2001, under Section 4 of the Act for different purposes. The learned Reference Court in the facts of the present case rightly held that the land sought to be acquired in the present case had great potentiality on account of earlier acquisitions and rightly assessed the market value of the acquired land by basing reliance on the market value assessed by this Court qua acquisition vide notification dated 02.10.2001. However, now the market value of the land assessed vide notification dated 02.10.2001 has been enhanced by the Hon'ble Apex Court and in consequence thereto, the market value of the land is liable to be enhanced in the present case by allowing 12% increase for the intervening period from 02.10.2001 to 04.07.2006 i.e. the dates of notifications under Section 4 of the Act.

Learned counsel for the land-owners has submitted that by giving 12% increase on cumulative basis for the intervening period of about 5 years i.e. from 02.10.2001 to 04.07.2006, the market value of the land comes to ₹1,485/- per square yard by basing reliance on the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court dated 25.02.2015.

The said calculation has not been disputed by learned Senior counsel for HUDA.

Accordingly, the market value of the land is enhanced @ ₹1,485/- per square yard qua the acquired land irrespective of the quality of the land. With this modification, the remaining reliefs granted by the learned Reference Court to the land-owners shall

remain intact. Consequently, the appeals filed by the land-owners are allowed and the appeals filed by the HUDA are dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

July 22, 2015
nitin