

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA No. 6008 of 2015 (O&M)

LAC No. 287 of 2012

Date of decision : 19.10.2015

Jai Narayan (deceased) through LR's and others .. Appellants

versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. R. A. Sheoran and Mr. Bhupinder Ghanghas, Advocates,
for the landowners.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

This order will dispose of RFA Nos. 6008 to 6018, 6239 and 6342 of 2015, as the same arise out of common acquisition.

By filing appeals, the landowners are seeking enhancement of compensation for the acquired land.

Briefly, the facts are that vide notifications dated 18.12.2008, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the Act'), State of Haryana sought to acquire land, in the revenue estate of village Dadri, Tehsil Dadri, District Bhiwani for Sectors 9 and 10P (Residential) and Sector 10-P (Commercial) for Transport, Commercial & Communication and Road Sector-10, Dadri. The same were followed by notifications dated 17.12.2009, issued under Section 6 of the Act. The Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector'), vide awards dated 16.12.2011, assessed the compensation @ ₹ 40,00,000/- per acre. Aggrieved against the awards of the Collector, the land owners filed objections which were referred to the learned court below, who keeping in view the material placed on record by the parties, determined the fair value of the acquired land @ ₹ 61,49,212/- per acre for the entire land. These awards have been impugned in the present set of appeals.

Learned counsel for the landowners submitted that the issue involved in the present set of appeals is squarely covered by judgment of this Court in RFA No. 6719 of 2013 Surat Singh and others vs State of Haryana and others, decided on 22.9.2015, whereby the award of the learned Court below assessing compensation @ ₹ 61,49,212/- per acre, was upheld.

Learned counsel for State did not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

Accordingly, for the reasons recorded in Surat Singh's case (supra), the appeals are dismissed. Consequently, the accompanying applications for delay are also dismissed.

19.10.2015
vs

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge