

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No. 2586 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.04.2015.**

Bal Mukand

.. Appellant

versus

Phool Chand and another

.. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Advocate for the appellant.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The present appeal has been filed against the concurrent findings of fact recorded by both the Courts below, whereby the suit filed by the appellant for possession holding that he had become owner of the suit property, as the period for redemption of mortgage had expired, was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the appellant has not been able to controvert the fact that in view of the Full Bench judgment of this Court in Ram Kishan and others Vs. Sheo Ram and others, 2008 (1) RCR (Civil) 334, the appellant could not make out a case for grant of relief. In fact the aforesaid Full Bench judgment of this Court was upheld by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in Singh Ram (deceased) through LRs Vs. Sheo Ram and others, 2014 (9) SCC 185.

Considering the aforesaid fact, no substantial question of law arises in the present appeal.

Dismissed.

24.04.2015
Manoj Bhutani

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge