

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA No. 5658 of 2015 (O&M)

LAC No. 464 of 2010

Date of decision : 19.10.2015

Mange Ram (deceased) through LR's .. Appellant

versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Vikrant Hooda, Advocate, for the appellant (s).
Mr. Arun Beniwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

This order will dispose of RFA Nos. 5658, 5659 and 5678 of 2015, as the same arise out of common acquisition.

The landowners are in appeals seeking enhancement of compensation for the acquired land.

Briefly, the facts of the case are that vide notification 17.4.2002, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, the Act), State of Haryana sought to acquire the land, situated in village Bahadurgarh, Hadbast No.38, Tehsil Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar, for development and utilisation thereof as Commercial, Institutional, Residential for Sector-1 (Part)-10, 11 (Part), 12 and 13, Bahadurgarh. The same was followed by notification dated 10.4.2003, issued under Section 6 of the Act. The Collector, vide award no.9 dated 25.6.2004, assessed the compensation @ ₹ 6,00,000/- per acre for chahi/ nehri/ gair mumkin, ₹ 5,50,000/- per acre for barani/ banjar, and ₹ 2,50,000/- per acre for gadha khadda. Aggrieved against the award of the Collector, the landowners filed objections which were referred to the learned court below, who keeping in view the material placed on record by the parties, determined the fair value of the acquired land @ ₹ 11,31,000/- per acre. This award has been impugned in the present appeals by the landowners.

Learned counsel for the landowners submitted that the claim made in the present appeals is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in RFA No. 1626 of 2011 Puran Chand Wadhwa (deceased) through LRs vs Land Acquisition Collector and another, decided on 31.8.2015, whereby, compensation for the land acquired vide same notification was further enhanced.

Learned counsel for the State did not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

Accordingly, for the detailed reasons recorded in Puran Chand Wadhwa's case (supra), the appeals are allowed in the same terms.

19.10.2015
vs

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge