

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA No. 5005 of 2014 (O&M)

LAC No. 943 of 2006

Date of decision : 29.9.2015

Ikonkar Singh

.. Appellant

versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Vimal Kumar Gupta, Mr. Aman Vashisht,
Mr. Ashok K. Khubbar, Mr. Sandeep Sharma, and
Mr. Sanjay Vashisht, Advocates, for the landowners.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

This order will dispose of RFA Nos. 5005, 6579, 7259, 8101, and 8639 of **2014**, as the same arise out of common acquisition.

By filing appeals, the landowners are seeking enhancement of compensation for the acquired land.

Briefly the facts are that vide notification dated 28.8.2001 issued under Section 4 of the Act, the State of Haryana sought to acquire land situated within the revenue estate of village Gobindpuri, Tehsil Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar, for development and utilisation thereof as residential and commercial area for Sector 18, Part II of Urban Estate, Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar. The same was followed by notification issued under Section 6 of the Act. The Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector'), assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 6,00,000/- per acre. Dissatisfied with the award of the Collector, the landowners filed objections. The learned court below vide award dated 21.12.2013, while relying upon judgment of this Court, assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 2,000/- per square meter upto the depth of 100 meters on Jagadhri-Yamuna Nagar bye pass and ₹ 11,32,800/- per acre for the remaining land. It is this award which has been impugned in the present set of appeals.

Learned counsel for the landowners very fairly submitted that the claim made in the present set of appeals is squarely covered by judgment of this court in RFA No. 6 of 2008 State of Haryana vs Seema Rani and others, decided on 8.12.2008.

Since the award of the learned Court below is in consonance with the judgment of this Court, no case for enhancement is made out.

Accordingly, for the reasons recorded in Seema Rani's case (supra), the appeals are dismissed. Consequently, the accompanying applications are also dismissed.

29.9.2015
vs.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge