

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA No.1495 of 2013(O&M)
Date of decision: 21.08.2015

Gurjit Kaur

... Appellant

Versus

Gurpreet Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Parveen Jain, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. RS Ahluwalia, Advocate for respondents No.3 &
4.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

On 27.05.2014, this court has passed the following order:

“Learned counsel for respondents No.3 & 4 has submitted that the matter has been compromised. Learned counsel for the appellant prays for time to verify the same.

On his request, adjourned to 28.07.2014.”

Learned counsel for respondents No.3 & 4 reiterates that the dispute between the parties has indeed been settled and thus, nothing substantive survives in this appeal.

Learned counsel for the appellant pleads ignorance but submits that let the appeal be dismissed as having become infructuous with liberty to the appellant that in case any cause of action still survives, he shall be at liberty to move the necessary application for restoration of the appeal and its

decision on merits. The course is acceptable to the learned counsel for respondents No.3 & 4.

Dismissed as having become infructuous with the liberty as prayed for.

August 21, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge