

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA No. 4673 of 2015 (O&M)
LAC No. 29 of 2012

Date of decision : 5.11.2015

Madhu and another

.. Appellants

versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Sanjay Jain, Advocate, for the appellants.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

This order will dispose of RFA Nos. 4673 and 4674 of 2015, as the same arise out of common acquisition.

The landowners are in appeal seeking enhancement of compensation for the acquired land.

Briefly the facts are that vide notification dated 3.2.2000, published on 15.2.2000, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the Act'), the State of Haryana sought to acquire land situated within the revenue estate of Janetpur, Hadbast No. 45, Tehsil and District Ambala, for provision of alternative land to defence authority in lieu of Camping Ground at Panipat. The same was followed by notification issued under Section 6 of the Act on 17.8.2000. The Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector'), vide his award dated 10.9.2001, assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 2,40,000/- per acre for chahi and ₹ 1,80,000/- per acre for other kinds of land. Dissatisfied with the award of the Collector, the landowners filed objections. On reference, the learned court below vide award dated 7.9.2013, determined the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 198/- per square yard.

Learned counsel for the appellants very fairly submitted that the issue raised in the present appeals is squarely covered by judgment of this Court in RFA No. 1590 of 2011 Roshan Lal and another vs State of Haryana and another decided on 8.7.2015, whereby award of the learned

Court below assessing compensation for the land acquired vide same notification was upheld.

Since the award of the learned court below is in consonance with the judgment of this Court, no case for enhancement is made out. Accordingly, for the reasons recorded in Roshan Lal's case (supra), both the appeals are dismissed. Consequently, the accompanying applications are dismissed.

5.11.2015
vs

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge