

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No.725 of 2012 (O&M)
Decided on:-13.1.2015.

Kulwinder Kaur.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Pargat Singh.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN PARSOON.

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Rattan, Advocate for the respondent.

Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon, J (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner claims that a petition under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 filed by the petitioner is pending adjudication at Patiala and the husband, respondent herein, to harass and humiliate her, has filed a petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (for short, the Act) at Dhuri, which lacks genuineness as also bonafides. It is claimed that it would not only be inconvenience but harassment to the petitioner-wife to contest the petition under Section 9 of the Act filed by the husband at Dhuri whereas the petition filed by her instance is pending at Patiala.

In view of the submissions made by counsel for the parties as also the circumstances explained in the petition, the request is allowed.

Sequelly, the petition under Section 9 of the Act pending before Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Dhuri is transferred to the court of competent jurisdiction at Patiala. Both the concerned District Judges to

comply.

Disposed of accordingly.

Copy Dasti on usual charges.

(Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon)
Judge

January 13, 2015

'Yag Dutt'