

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(211)

**SAO No.40 of 2012 (O&M)
Decided on: April 27, 2015.**

Satya Parkash

.... Petitioner

Versus

Hira Lal and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Amarjit Markan, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Tushar Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. G.C. Dhuriwala, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

Mr. S.K. Garg Narwana, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Karan Garg, Advocate,
for respondents No. 6 to 9.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Vide order dated 15.05.2012, learned Additional District Judge, Barnala has referred back the application for interim injunction for re-consideration ordering that interim injunction order passed by the trial Court at initial stage on 03.08.2010 shall remain operative till re-decision of interim injunction application on merits. The order dated 15.05.2012 has been challenged in the present appeal.

After taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the opinion that instead of lingering on the matter for adjudication of interim injunction, it will be expedient, in the interest of

justice, to issue a direction to the trial Court to conclude the suit itself within a period of six months after the next date of hearing maintaining the interim order as passed by the lower Appellate Court meanwhile. Counsel for the parties also agree to the aforesaid proposition.

This appeal is disposed of with a direction that the trial Court shall decide the main suit within a period of six months after the receipt of copy of this order or from the next date of hearing fixed before the trial Court, whichever is later.

Interim order passed at the initial stage of the suit dated 03.08.2010 shall remain operative during pendency of the suit before trial Court.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

April 27, 2015
harsha