

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.740 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of decision : 03.08.2015

Hakam Singh

...Appellant

versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Mayank Mathur, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. K.K.Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

RITU BAHRI, J (Oral)

The appellant/plaintiff has come up in regular second appeal against the judgment of the lower appellate Court dated 17.09.2011, whereby the judgment of the trial Court dated 26.11.2008 has been reversed, vide which the suit of the plaintiff was decreed.

The lower appellate Court has observed that vide order dated 14.11.2002, the present appellant stands regularised as an unskilled labour and counsel for the State does not dispute this fact.

Counsel for the State has informed the Court that the present appellant stands regularised as per order dated 14.11.2002, Ex.P1 as an unskilled worker. He was wrongly mentioned in the order Ex.P1 as being regularised as skilled worker. This order was withdrawn in the year 2006 along with other employee Ram Akshay Kumar. Ram Akshay Kumar challenged this order by filing a writ

petition in this Court, which was dismissed by observing that since he was working as unskilled worker, the order regularising him as skilled worker has rightly been withdrawn.

Counsel for the State has further informed that as per Ex.P1, the plaintiff/appellant stands regularised.

No substantial question of law arises in this appeal for adjudication.

Dismissed.

03.08.2015
Vinay

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE